INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

| DISTRICT OFFICE FILE NUMBER: PROJECT MANAG PROJECT REVIEW | MVP- ER: Dale P | | OJP OMPLETE | | ne office? Y | | | Date | e:Mar. 2, 20 | 007 | |
|--|--------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------|------------------|----------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------|------------------|--|
| | isconsin | IATION: | A | tt the projec | t site? | Ш | N | | ÷: | | |
| County: Ra | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | dinates of sit | | | | 0096666686 | 25N, | 88.042 | 2316954693 | 32W | | |
| | te size of site | | | | | | | | | | |
| | · · | 1 | Pike-Root, Illinois, Wis | | | | -50 > 50 ac | | Times. | I I a language | |
| Type of Aquatic Resource1: | 0-1 ac | 1-3 ac | 3-5 ac | 5-10 ac | 10-25 ac | ac | -30 | > 50 ac | Linear Ft | Unknown | |
| Lake | | | | | | | | | | | |
| River | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stream | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mudflat | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sandflat | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wetlands | 0.25 acre | | | | | | | | | | |
| Slough | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prairie Pothole | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wet Meadow | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Playa Lake | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vernal Pool | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Pond | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other Water (identify type) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1Check appropriate boxes jurisdictional aquatic resou | | cribe type o | f isolated, 1 | non-navigab | ole, intra-state | wate | er prese | ent and best | estimate f | or size of non- | |
| Migratory Bird Rule Factors1 | | | | If Known | If Unknown | | | | | | |
| | | | 11 1110 1111 | | | | | Professional Judgment | | | |
| | | | | | Predicted | | Not | | | Not Able to Make | |
| | | Yes | No | to Occur | | Expected | | | Determination | | |
| | | | | | | 1 | to | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Occur | | | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties? | | | | | X | | | | | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory | | | | | X | | | | | | |
| birds that cross state lines? | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species? | | | | | | | X | | | | |
| Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce? | | | | | | | X | | | | |
| 1Check appropriate boxes | that best desc | ribe potent | ial for appl | licability of | the Migratory | y Biro | l Rule | to apply to | onsite, non | -jurisdictional, | |
| isolated, non-navigable, int | | - | | | | | | • | | | |
| TYPE OF DETERMINAT | ΠΟN: | Prelimin | ary [| Or | Approve | ed: | × | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

FILE NUMBER: MVP-2007-517-DJP

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING njd (e.g., paragraph 1 site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite): A wetland delineation report was received at the Waukesha office for review and concurrence. A review of the information available on the Kenosha County IMS (aerial photography and topographic mapping) indicates that the wetland boundaries have been correctly identified and are adequate to establish the extent of Corps jurisdiction.

The wetland delineation identified 3 separate wetlands areas with a combined surface area of 1.05-acres at the 17-acre site. A 0.8-acre pond with an adjacent wetland was identified in a drainage way. The Union Grove Quadrangle, the Racine County Soil Survey indicate that the pond is connected via surface water drainage to the West Branch Root River Canal, which is tributary to the Root River, a navigable water of the US and is therefore jurisdictional.

The other 2-wetlands with a combined surface area of approximately 0.25 acres are confined to isolated depressions that lack a surface water connection and adjacency to nearby pond and drainage way. Connections between the wetlands and interstate commerce was also considered but could not be identified. Therefore, these wetlands are isolated waters that would not be subject to Corps Section 404 jurisdiction.